JUN 0 7 REC'D Margie Evans 2270 Eaton St // Missoula, MT 59801



Janina Bradley
PO Box 1434
1021 Willow Dr.
Seeley Lake, MT 59868

Board of Directors Seeley Lake Sewer District PO Box 403 Seeley Lake, MT 59868

6/11/2021

I am writing to protest the administrative fee procured by the Sewer Board. The fees are excessive as the voters had previously shown they were opposed to the plan, and had voted "no," numerous times. The board continued with the same sort of plan despite protest from the populace. The board also came into existence under the doctrine that if a sewer was needed it would be affordable and make sense for the economic situation of Seeley Lake. They did not vote on an affordable plan and instead opted for a plan that was logistically challenging and very expensive for the town.

Not only did they try to push a plan that no one wanted and was expensive they continued and amassed huge fees they want to stick on the population that clearly voted "NO." I am in absolute protest of how the sewer board went about their business and amassed fees and pushed for a plan counter to their own conception doctrines, a plan that was voted no on numerous times. Thank you for your time and including my input.

MIN

Sincerely

Janina Bradley Homeowner



Sue Pierce PO Box 206 785 Tamarack Dr. Seeley Lake, MT 59868

Board of Directors Seeley Lake Sewer District PO Box 403 Seeley Lake, MT 59868

6/11/2021

I am writing to protest the administrative fee procured by the Sewer Board. The fees are excessive as the voters had previously shown they were opposed to the plan, and had voted "no," numerous times. The board continued with the same sort of plan despite protest from the populace. The board also came into existence under the doctrine that if a sewer was needed it would be affordable and make sense for the economic situation of Seeley Lake. They did not vote on an affordable plan and instead opted for a plan that was logistically challenging and very expensive for the town.

Not only did they try to push a plan that no one wanted and was expensive they continued and amassed huge fees they want to stick on the population that clearly voted "NO." I am in absolute protest of how the sewer board went about their business and amassed fees and pushed for a plan counter to their own conception doctrines, a plan that was voted no on numerous times. Thank you for your time and including my input.

Sincerely,

Sue Pierce

Homeowner

John Richards

[406.677.2600]

2824 Hwy 83 North P.O. Box 316 Seeley Lake, MT 59868

June 11, 2021

Seeley Lake Sewer District PO Box 403 Seeley Lake MT 59868

Dear Board;

I am writing this letter to protest the Seeley Lake sewer district adopted FY2022 Assessment for my vacant property, tax id # 1287904, Seeley Lake homesites #4, Block 5, Lot 6, 980 Pine Drive.

Thank you,

John Richards

JR/cl

Richards Development Company

2824 Hwy 83 North P.O. Box 316 Seeley Lake, MT 59868

[406.677.2600]

June 11, 2021

Seeley Lake Sewer District PO Box 403 Seeley Lake MT 59868

Dear Board;

I am writing this letter to protest the Seeley Lake sewer district adopted FY2022 Assessment for my vacant property at: tax id # 2133106, Seeley Lake Homesites #4, Block 5, Lot 4, 952 Pine Drive and tax id# 2133202, Seeley Lake Homesites #4, Block 5, Lot 5, 968 Pine Drive.

Thank you,

John Richards, Owner

Richards Development Company

JR/cl

Protest to "Equal" Assessment Methodology per "Parcel," as interded JUN 25 REC'D

Many landowners in the arbitrarily-drawn "Sewer District" bought ar acre of land "Sewer District" bought are acre of land a house of a house of a backyard.

We panieted when The Sewer Det started "
referring separately to our 2 "sacre parcels."

The Department of Revenue can qualify
a homeoroner with 'two lots skaring
a homeoroner with 'two lots skaring
a contiquous boundary to be taxed
a contiquous boundary
as one parcel. Engineers go by
i Tax ID" numbers.

My property tax bill lists
my property tax ID as being for "farcel
204--- (after consolidating to 2 tox
bills).

Please establish that exception of any others to make assess ments ->

Jain + reasonable! (To aggregate 2 lots through County Planning, you have to him a surveyor who pretends has surveying a separately which don't share a boundary. \$200 for co Planning's input & at least \$700 for a surveyor? whatever method the Sewer Board decides on, please establish exceptions to make it fair your all - i.e. combine "use ded based on use hook ups would be needed based on use historically. Thank you for your historically. Consideration, Shel akan 1 Tox 1D Paral 2047502 552-808

* To qualify for tax bill consolidation by
the Dept of Rev, they require use as
a single home also